UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,376	04/01/2004	Arnis Pone	0061-011	8258	
40972	7590 02/04/2008 8- ASSOCIATES DIC		EXAMINER		
714 W. MICH	& ASSOCIATES, PLC GAN AVENUE		JACKSON, BRANDON LEE		
THREE RIVE	RS, MI 49093		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3772		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/04/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

					()		
		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/815,376	ı	PONE ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Brandon Ja	ckson	3772			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the	over sheet with th	ne correspondence ado	lress		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THI 136(a). In no even will apply and will e, cause the applic	S COMMUNICAT t, however, may a reply be expire SIX (6) MONTHS ation to become ABAND	TON. De timely filed from the mailing date of this cor ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 L	December 200	<u> </u>				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from cons					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected.	cepted or b) cepte	held in abeyance. d if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CF			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been ts have been prity documer uu (PCT Rule	received. received in Appliats have been received 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National S	Stage		
2) Notice 3) Infor	tet(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to amendments/arguments filed 12/13/2007.

Currently, claims 1-42 are pending in the instant application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/13/2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number:

10/815,376

Art Unit: 3772

Claims 1-4, 7-21, 23-29, 31-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luttrell et al. (US Patent 5,144,943). Luttrell discloses a ankle device (10) comprising a lower leg engaging apparatus (140), a foot engaging apparatus (12), a biasing member (50) disposed between the lower leg engaging apparatus (140) and the foot engaging apparatus (12) to by the apparatuses (12, 140) away from one another. The biasing member (50) exerts a continuous force (col. 3, lines 52-54). The biasing member (50) is pneumatic (col. 7, lines 38-40), wherein by the definition of pneumatic the biasing member much contain compressed air and therefore use a pump to insert compressed air into the biasing member (50) in order to set the desired amount of force applied (col. 2, lines 50-52). The cylinder (52), which is tubular, of the biasing member (50) functions as an inflatable tube because it is filled with compressed air. The foot engaging apparatus (12) includes a receiving portion (32), which is a channel (34), adapted to accept the biasing member (50). The receiving portion (32) is substantially rigid. The foot engaging apparatus (12) comprises a first surface (16) adapted to abut a dorsal portion of a foot, and a second surface (14) adapted to abut a heel (col. 3, lines 60-61). The first and second surfaces (14, 16) are arched. The first portion (16) is flexibly coupled to the second portion (14) of the foot engaging apparatus (12). The first portion (16) is hinged to the lip portion (18) of the second portion (14) of the foot engaging apparatus (12). The first portion (16) has a securing device (col. 3, lines 64-67) for securing the first portion (16) to the second portion (14). The leg engaging apparatus (140) includes a receiving portion (133) adapted to accept the biasing member (50), wherein the receiving portion (133, 135) includes a channel (135)

Application/Control Number:

10/815,376 Art Unit: 3772

formed in a top portion of the leg engaging apparatus (140). The receiving portion (133, 135) is flared (fig. 1) sufficiently to contain a top portion (137) of the biasing member (50). The leg engaging apparatus (140) is formed of a material sufficiently rigid to transfer force exerted by the biasing member (50) to the lower leg of the user. The leg engaging apparatus (140) is contoured to conform to a human leg. The leg engaging apparatus (140) is sectioned into a left and right section in order to facilitate application and removal. The left and right sections are flexibly coupled (col. 4, lines 5-8) together. The left and right sections are flexibly hinged at a lower leg plate (153). The left and right sections are secured together by a hook and loop fastener (col. 4, lines 5-8).

With respect to claims 37-41, Luttrell discloses all the structural elements of the claimed invention and the Luttrell device (10) is used for the same function; therefore, the method steps would be inherent to the use of the Luttrell device (10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

10/815,376 Art Unit: 3772

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 5-6 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luttrell et al. (US Patent 5,144,943) in view of applicant's specification. Luttrell substantially discloses the claimed invention; see rejections to claims 1-2 and 4 above, however Luttrell fails to disclose the inflatable tube is shaped as a sectioned torus and adapted to generally surround an ankle and include a break to facilitate positioning around the ankle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute the vertical cylindrical member of Luttrell for the inflatable tube shaped as a sectioned torus and adapted to generally surround an ankle and include a break to facilitate positioning around the ankle, since applicant has not disclosed these limitations provide critical and/or unexpected results and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any biasing member and actuator such as the pneumatic system taught by Luttrell. Applicant has disclosed the use of hydraulic biasing means or an inflatable tube as equivalent on page 5, lines 20-26 of applicant's specification.

With respect to claim 22, Luttrell fails to disclose the leg engaging apparatus is tapered from an upper portion to a lower portion; however it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the leg engaging apparatus is tapered from an upper portion to a lower portion or whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary

Art Unit: 3772

skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47.

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luttrell et al. (US Patent 5,144,943) in view of Bastow (U.S. Patent 5,215,508). Luttrell substantially discloses the claimed invention; see rejections to claims 1 and 24-25 above. Luttrell fails to disclose the strap (155) on the lower leg engaging apparatus (140) is a semi rigid elastic wrap. However, Bastow teaches an ankle device (fig. 1) comprising a lower leg engaging apparatus (12, 13), foot engaging apparatus (1, 15), and a biasing member (7/9, 6/8). The lower leg engaging apparatus (12, 13) comprises a semi rigid elastic wrap (col. 7, lines 37-38). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Luttrell straps to be a semi rigid elastic wrap, as taught by Bastow, in order for lower le engaging apparatus to provide support, but also be able to be shaped to the user's leg.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon Jackson whose telephone number is (571)272-3414. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571)272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3772

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> gat 1/29/08 Brandon Jackson

Examiner Art Unit 3772

BLJ

PATRICIA BIANCO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700